From:
To: SizewellC
Subject: Sizewell disaster

**Date:** 12 October 2021 19:55:31

To whom it may concern,

I have many issues with Sizewell C and whilst I see a few benefits, they are heavily outweighed by the negatives.

- 1. Nuclear fuel is not green or renewable. Nuclear fuel is the most polluting fuel mankind has ever invented and it will take nearly two centuries to get it off site and 100,000 years for it to detoxify. In addition, the technology being proposed for this scheme is outdated, expensive and slow.
- 2. The area around Sizewell is a fragile, almost unique habitat for nature. The sand erodes so fast that it managed to wipe out Dunwich, only a mile away, and once Suffolk's busiest port. How can anyone guarantee that the land around the proposed plant is going to be safe for hundreds of years? They can't.
- 3. This is a terrible legacy to leave the next generation. In the future perhaps this will be considered to have been a crime against humanity.
- 4. There are other, far less destructive solutions, like better battery storage that will be available long before the fifteen years in will take to build Sizewell., Blue Hydrogen will be a cleaner energy source and a programme of mass insulation across the country are only a few examples. Invest in renewables please.
- 5. Another issue is that there is a heavy carbon cost associated with its construction. Smaller more energy efficient (and UK built) alternatives are available or soon to be available. See the Rolls-Royce mini nuclear reactors for details.

Please let's put the future of the local community, the people of this country and all peoples of this world before the greed of government and corporations who are so focused on short term results.

Yours faithfully

Deborah Golden